World Bulletin of Management and Law (WBML)
Available Online at: https://www.scholarexpress.net
Volume-20, March-2023
ISSN: 2749-3601

APPLICATION OF NEW APPROACHES TO ENSURE THE EFFICACY OF CORPORATE MANAGEMENT IN BANKS

Akbarova Faridakhon Ibrokhimjonovna
faridakhonakbarova@gmail.com
Andijan Institute of Economics and Construction
Uzbekistan, Andijan city

Article history:

Received: January 6th 2023
Accepted: February 8th 2023
Published: March 8th 2023

Abstract:

The essential component of creating a safe and healthy bank is board and management monitoring. In other words, director oversight is the key force that keeps a bank on track, and it is a vital component of a bank's success. The Federal Reserve and other banking authorities have long recognized the value of independent directors and constructive board engagement. Many supervision policies and examination guidelines used by federal banking examiners reflect this. This article discusses the areas that are especially crucial to the bank's successful performance.

Keywords: Corporate management, four Ps of corporate governance, successful corporate governance, CEO performance.

Corporate governance is a difficult beast to master. Even those of us who have formed our careers in fields where governance is required may not completely comprehend what it entails. That is why many governance professionals simplify it to four words: ***People, Purpose, Process,*** and ***Performance***.

- **People** are the organizers who decide on a goal to work toward, create a consistent procedure to attain it, assess their performance outcomes, and utilize those outcomes to improve themselves and others as people.
- **Purpose**. Every aspect of management exists *for* a purpose and to *accomplish* a purpose. The 'for' represents the organization's guiding principles. This is their mission statement. Each of their policies and programs should be designed to forward this objective.
- **Process**. Governance is the mechanism through which employees achieve their company's goal, and that process is built through performance analysis. Procedures are adjusted through time in order to achieve their goal consistently, and it's always a good idea to scrutinize your governance processes.
- **Performance**. One of the major purposes of the management structure is the capacity to examine the outcomes of a process and assess if it was successful (or successful enough), and then apply those findings to the rest of your organization.

Corporate governance is fundamentally a system of rules, policies, and processes that guide the board of directors and independent committees in their monitoring and management of the corporation. It entails balancing a company's stakeholders' interests—including management, employees, suppliers, consumers, and the community—with the requirement to produce value to its shareholders and owners. Having a solid, active governance program is vital to an enterprise's long-term financial health, development, and success.

Keeping that description in mind, the following are the *modern important aspects for successful corporate governance*:

1. Director autonomy and performance.

The Board of Directors is responsible for business governance, including defining long-term strategic direction and recruiting and overseeing the Chief Executive Officer.

The most efficient boards are composed of a majority of independent directors who can regulate corporate management and independent committees for the interest of shareholders. These directors should be present at the meetings and ready to debate important problems. They should also be evaluated based on the period of time they have served on a specified board. Long-serving directors may become too ingrained in a corporation to be regarded fully independent.

Board members' "overboarding" should likewise be a source of worry. This refers to circumstances in which directors serve on the boards of too many different publicly listed firms or nonprofit organizations to be successful. As a result, these directors may be unable to attend meetings, prepare questions, address critical problems, or represent the shareholders who elected them appropriately.

2. An emphasis on diversity.

World Bulletin of Management and Law (WBML)
Available Online at: https://www.scholarexpress.net
Volume-20, March-2023
ISSN: 2749-3601

According to research, organizations with more diverse boards are less risk-averse, have less unpredictable stock returns, and are more likely to issue dividends. As a result, it may be argued that gender, age, and minority representation should be a primary aim for the makeup of any company's board and senior management ranks.

However, according to a 2016 analysis by the Alliance for Board Diversity and Deloitte Consulting [1], women and minorities held just 30.8% of Fortune 500 business board seats. The survey also discovered that Caucasian men were far more likely than non-Caucasian men to serve as board chairmen, lead directors, or heads of important board committees.

3. Consistent compensation evaluation and management.

Director salary has risen in recent years as the number of hours spent on board seats has increased. A 2016 pay governance assessment [1] found that the median remuneration paid by directors at S&P 500 companies was $265,487. While the value of board members cannot be emphasized, it is also true that this is a part-time job, with many directors working full-time elsewhere. Furthermore, when remuneration is excessively high, there may be fears that directors would not appropriately question senior management's activities for fear of losing their board fees.

The scope and method of management remuneration should also be evaluated, with proxy filings being a valuable source of information concerning executive compensation plans. Institutional Shareholder Services (ISS) [2], a proxy voting advice service, has created the following five pay recommendations as part of its proxy voting principles:

1) Pay should be performance-based, with an emphasis on the long term.
2) Avoid "paying for failure" by avoiding guaranteed income and large severance payouts.
3) For effective supervision, form an independent compensation committee.
4) Ensure that compensation disclosures are transparent and complete.
5) Manage non-executive director compensation. Nonexecutive directors who are overpaid may not be able to make unbiased decisions about managers' salary and performance.

4. Transparency and independence of auditors.

A study of audit methods and business accounting might also indicate potential issues. Auditors should be impartial (have no financial interest in a corporation) and make the majority of their money from auditing rather than consulting. Accounting concerns should be addressed transparently, with comprehensive, thorough information and reports made available to the board and preventive measures in place to prevent the recurrence of any dubious findings.

5. Provisions for shareholder rights and takeovers.

Shareholder rights should be considered by investors as an important component of effective governance. Takeover provisions should be examined, and shareholders should have sufficient voting rights to vote on them.

For instance:

— *Do all shareholders have equal voting rights, or does one share class have an edge over the other?*

Multiple shares/classes do not always imply inadequate governance, but they are a matter to consider. In the information technology industry, for example, it is typical for firm founders and insiders to own shares with more voting rights than outside investors.

— *Can shareholders make suggestions on proxy ballots or nominate directors?*

A company's track record in dealing with shareholder proposals that earn a majority of votes may also be an indicator of how it engages with its shareholders.

6. Voting by proxy and shareholder influence.

Investors are more frequently utilizing proxy voting to impact corporate supervision and a board's commitment to improve governance on problems such as climate change, income inequality, and shareholder proxy access. Shareholders must be able to use their votes to transmit a message to the board by withholding votes for directors in circumstances where the firm has failed to act on winning shareholder motions, failed to deal with a director's poor performance, or failed to strengthen board accountability and supervision.

Letter-writing campaigns may also be effective in pushing for changes in a company's corporate governance and, in some circumstances, have replaced the use of shareholder votes. Pension funds and asset managers, for example, may band together to effectively employ letter writing to enact new voting mechanisms such as majority voting, the repeal of classified boards, and the elimination of supermajority voting restrictions.

REFERENCES

1. 2016 Board Diversity Census of Women and Minorities on Fortune 500 Boards.
2. <http://www.catalyst.org/system/files/2016_board_diversity_census_deloitte_abd.pdf>
3. VOTING POLICIES 2022. Institutional Shareholder Services.
4. <https://www.issgovernance.com/policy-gateway/voting-policies/>

5. Basel Committee on Banking Supervision. Guidelines. Corporate governance principles for banks. July 2015. Bank for International Settlements. ISBN 978-92-9197-130-5 (print) ISBN 978-92-9197-126-8 (online).
6. <https://www.bis.org/bcbs/publ/d328.pdf>
7. Adams RB, Mehran H (2003) Is corporate governance different for bank holding companies? FRBNY Econ Policy Rev 9(1):123–142.
8. Adams RB, Mehran H (2012) Bank board structure and performance: evidence for large bank holding companies. J Financ Intermed 21(2):243–267
9. Armour J, Gordon JN (2013) Systemic harms and shareholder value. ECGI Law Working Paper No 222/2013, August 2013
10. Beltratti A, Stulz RM (2012) The credit crisis around the globe: why did some banks perform better? J Financ Econ 105(1):1–17
11. Busch D, Ferrarini G, van Solinge G (eds) (2019a) Governance of financial institutions. Oxford University Press, Oxford
12. F.Akbarova (2022) "Bank boshqaruvida olib borilgan islohot va o'zgartirishlar". Eurasian Journal of Academic Research 13(2): 1342-1346