



CORPORATE CRIMINAL ACCOUNTABILITY IN FULFILLING THE RIGHT TO RESTITUTION OF VICTIMS OF PEOPLE TRAFFICKING

Thomas Petrus Gekeng Tukan, Tofik Yanuar Chandra, Kristiawanto, Yuhelson, Ramlani Lina Sinaulan

Jayabaya University Law Postgraduate Program
Jalan Pulomas Selatan, Kayu Putih, Kec. Pulo Gadung, City of East Jakarta, 13210,
email: 46thomas.tukan@gmail.com, telephone: 081238920402

Article history:	Abstract:
Received: January 6 th 2023 Accepted: February 8 th 2023 Published: March 8 th 2023	So far, the role of corporations in human trafficking has been quite significant. So that there needs to be a study related to criminal responsibility in fulfilling the rights of victims of the Crime of Human Trafficking (TPPO). The background of this writing discusses the formulation of the related problem: How is corporate criminal responsibility in TIP? And how is corporate criminal responsibility in fulfilling the rights of restitution for victims of TIP? This article uses a normative juridical research method that examines various laws and regulations in Indonesia, then is analyzed descriptively. Regarding corporate criminal responsibility, there is still very little going on in Indonesia. Whereas in Law Number 21 of 2007 concerning the Eradication of the Crime of Human Trafficking, corporations have been accepted as subjects of criminal law on trafficking in persons who can be held criminally responsible. One of the responsibilities that must be fulfilled by corporations as criminal actors is the fulfillment of victims' rights of restitution.

Keywords: *accountability, criminal human trafficking, corporations, restitution, victims.*

INTRODUCTION

Indonesia is an archipelagic country with a fairly high demographic explosion. The country with the crossing point of two oceans, namely the Indian and the Pacific, is the link between Asia and Australia. Strategic topography for labor market traffic, as well as providing opportunities for countries of origin, transit and destination (*sending, transit and producing areas*) the operation of human trafficking (Ganewati Wuryandari, 2011) .

Currently, the phenomenon of trafficking in persons is a serious problem in Indonesia. The Joint Regional Police and Criminal Investigation Unit of the Republic of Indonesia Police reported that the 2011-2018 period handled 3561 (three thousand five hundred sixty one) victims of the Crime of Trafficking in Persons (TPPO). When comparing data from the Ministry of Foreign Affairs of the Republic of Indonesia, the dominance of victims is Indonesian Migrant Workers. East Nusa Tenggara Province itself is the fifth largest contributor of Indonesian workers after West Java, West Nusa Tenggara, Central Java and East Java (TPPO Task Force Secretariat, 2018). Most of these workers were sent without official documents or even without documents at all by individual brokers or brokers for Indonesian Migrant Worker Placement Companies (P3MI).

Based on data from the Indonesian Migrant Workers Protection Agency (BP2MI) in 2022, Indonesia has 344 P3MIs. The unclear status of the labor service provider company is one of the causes of TIP . However, from the information in the data, not all P3MIs are active and there are placements. Companies that are not active and *updated* in providing reports to BP2MI, often become recruiters' tools to ensnare potential labor victims. This is evidenced by the fact that during the 2015-2022 period, BP2MI has revoked the permits of 201 P3MIs.

Although technically the departure of migrant workers is not with the aim of being trafficked to the receiving country, migrant workers are the group most vulnerable to exploitation and end up as victims. The use of perpetrator modes that were successfully disclosed by the police included commercial sex workers, domestic helpers, selling children, ship crew, and selling human organs (Sulistyowati Irianto, 2006) . The rapid pace of technology, information and communication as it is now has made the transformation of the modus operandi increasingly sophisticated and complicated . Trafficking in persons has become an *extraordinary*, organized and transnational crime. Law Number 21 of 2007 concerning the Eradication of the Crime of Trafficking in Persons in Article 1 point 1 defines Trafficking in Persons as:



"The act of recruiting, transporting, harboring, sending, transferring, or receiving a person by means of threats of violence, use of force, kidnapping, confinement, forgery, fraud, abuse of power or position of vulnerability, debt bondage or giving payments or benefits, so as to obtain the consent of the person who holds control over the other person, both within the country and between countries, for the purpose of exploitation or causing people to be exploited".

In current developments, the existence of corporations as potential perpetrators of trafficking in persons is becoming increasingly significant. The relationship between trafficking in persons and corporations is found in the development of its prevalence in the business world (TM Parente, 2014). Its role is through supplying the labor market chain, as a provider of labor recruitment and delivery services, as well as as a recipient and employer. Kristian in his book "Corporate Criminal Responsibility" gives reasons for the need to justify a corporation to be held criminally responsible, namely (Kristian, 2016):

- 1) bearing in mind that in socio-economic life, corporations are increasingly playing a very important role;
- 2) the profits obtained by the corporation and the losses suffered by the community can be so large that it cannot be balanced if the corporation is only subject to civil sanctions (Beth Stephens, 2002) ;
- 3) corporations are the main actors in the world economy, so that the presence of criminal law is considered the most effective method to influence the actions of rational corporate actors (Pamela H. Buci, 2007) ;
- 4) corporate actions through its agents on the one hand often cause enormous losses in society, so that the presence of criminal sanctions is expected to prevent them from repeating their actions (Geraldine Szott Moohr, 2007) ;
- 5) the punishment of corporations with the threat of punishment is one of the efforts to avoid criminal acts against their own employees (Muladi and Dwidja Priyatno, 2012) ;
- and 6) by being convicted of a corporation that commits a crime, Indonesia can prove to the international community that Indonesia is a legal state in accordance with world developments.

The authority to impose sanctions on corporations has been granted through Law Number 21 of 2007 concerning the Eradication of the Crime of Trafficking in Persons. This law regulates humans and corporations as legal subjects. The entry of corporations as subjects of criminal law provides hope, optimism and state commitment to efforts to investigate, investigate, prosecute and fulfill the rights of victims of TIP . However, in its implementation, corporations are

considered to often escape their accountability in law enforcement. One of the corporate responsibilities that is often neglected is the fulfillment of the victim's right to restitution .

Article 1 point 13 of the law *a quo* defines restitution as payment of compensation which is charged to the perpetrator based on a court decision that has permanent legal force for material and/or immaterial losses suffered by the victim or his heirs. Through this law, the state pays great attention to the suffering and fulfillment of victims' rights of restitution as a remedy for TIP . A number of court decisions so far have been deemed insufficient to position victims as subjects seeking justice whose rights are protected and restored. It seems that the focus of prosecution is still on individual actors and has not yet touched on corporations as legal entities. The orientation of accountability is still in imposing criminal sanctions on the perpetrator's confinement, while ensuring the fulfillment of the victim's right of restitution has not been a priority.

The lack of postulates that appear to respond to the issue of fulfilling the victim's right of restitution and the low efforts to restore the victim's condition by corporate perpetrators of criminal acts are the reasons researchers raise the research title "Corporate Criminal Responsibility in Fulfilling the Rights of Restitution for TIP Victims " . The formulation of the problem discussed in this article is: How is corporate criminal responsibility in TIP ? And what about corporate criminal responsibility in fulfilling the rights of restitution for TIP victims ?

RESEARCH METHODS

This article uses normative juridical research methods in examining various positive laws from the applicable laws and regulations. Then it will be analyzed descriptively to provide an overview of legal problems and phenomena that exist in society. In addition, this article also uses library research with book and journal sources related to corporate criminal responsibility in fulfilling TIP victim restitution .

DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF RESULTS

1. Responsibility in TIP

Indonesian regulations are not clear enough to regulate the mechanism of sanctions given to corporations. The inclusion of corporations as legal subjects in the TIP Law should be followed by the accountability of corporate entities and their personnel independently and cumulatively. Because the punishment of the management alone is not enough to carry out repression against offenses committed by or with a corporation (Julia Planitzer and Nora Katona, 2017).

a. Corporations as subjects of criminal law



The dilemma of corporations being used as subjects of criminal law begins with the emergence of an important principle in ancient criminal law, namely *society delinquere non potest* where corporations cannot commit crimes (Muladi and Dwidja Priyatno, 2011). This doctrine was later adopted in criminal law in many countries including the Netherlands through *Wetboek van Strafrecht* (WvS) in 1881, which was then accepted by Indonesia in the Criminal Code (the old Criminal Code). The principle of no crime without error or *no crime without error | geen straf zonder schuld* provides a debate about the source of corporate guilt as a prerequisite for criminal law subjects to be held accountable (Moeljatno, 1987) .

Initially, the subject of criminal law was only humans (individuals), so that the provision of conventional punishment was colored by corporal punishment, such as death penalty and imprisonment, all of which were projected for humans (Anugerah Rizki Akbari & Aulia Ali Reza, 2017). This assumption arises as a response to the relationship between the element of error (*actus reus*) and the element of the inner attitude of the actor (*mens rea*) which only humans have as *natuurlijke person*. So that the formulation of the subject of a crime in criminal law is always determined by the term whoever (*bij die, een ieder* = everyone) (SR Sianturi, 1996). Corporations are seen as subjects who have no inner attitude to prove their mistakes.

The similarity of a number of expert views above is that as a legal subject, corporations have rights and obligations. Rights are powers, authorities granted by law to legal subjects, while obligations are burdens granted by law to legal subjects (Ammirullah, 2012). According to Sutan Remy, treating corporations like humans (*natural persons*) and burdening them with accountability for criminal acts committed by them is in line with the principle that everyone is equal before the law (*principle of equality before the law*) (Sutan Remy Sjahdeini, 2006) . Accountability follows the characteristics of the crime he committed.

b. Corporate involvement in TIP

Law Number 21 of 2007 concerning the Eradication of TIP, provides a definition of trafficking in persons in 3 (three) elements, as follows:

Action	recruiting, transporting, harboring, sending, transferring, or receiving a person.
Suggestion	threats of violence, use of force, abduction, confinement, forgery, fraud, abuse of power or position of

	vulnerability, debt bondage or giving payments or benefits.
Objective	exploitation or causing people to be exploited.

From the elements above, according to Silvia Rodriguez-Lopez, the way corporations carry out acts of trafficking in persons can be classified into three different categories, namely:

- 1) *the first category*, companies directly and voluntarily recruit, transport and provide the necessary documents for people to be transferred to places where they will be exploited and benefit from the exploitation;
- 2) *the second category*, the company acts as a third party supplied by other parties both inside and outside the country;
- 3) *the third category*, the involvement of companies when their products, services or facilities are used in the process of trafficking in persons. This can occur in the hotel, tourism and transportation sectors.

From these three categories, Silvia's view limits the role of corporations as direct agents and intermediaries. The researcher wants to add one company's actions as the fourth category, namely:

- 4) *fourth category*, the company acts as a supplier / provider of jobs that receives labor market supplies from intermediary parties or companies as explained in the second category.

c. Response to Corporate Involvement in TIP

The United Nations Convention Against Transnational Organized Crime *and the Protocols Thereto* (UNTOC), in general, emphasizes that corporate responsibility is considered very important in instruments against organized crime such as trafficking in persons, environmental crimes, corruption and terrorism. Article 5 of the Palermo Protocol obliges the state to take the necessary steps to determine the responsibility of each party, including corporations, in human trafficking. According to UNTOC corporate responsibility is mandatory, in so far as it is consistent with the legal principles of the country concerned. Considering that the different legal systems of each country result in different adoption approaches to corporate responsibility . The choice of type of liability such as criminal, civil or administrative depends on the principles of each country.

Given the high probability that private agents are involved in trafficking in persons, another legal instrument that is referred to is the *International Labor Organization* (ILO) Convention on Private Employment Agencies. This convention



encourages member states to take adequate protective measures to prevent crimes against migrant workers who are recruited and placed on their territory. In addition, at the European level, there are legal instruments in the form of the European Council Convention on *Action Against Trafficking in Human Beings (European Trafficking Convention)* and the European Parliament Directive 2011/36/EU on *Preventing and Combating Trafficking in Human Beings and Protecting its Victims (EU Trafficking Directive)*. This instrument obliges its member states to establish the responsibility of legal entities for trafficking in persons. The liability options are criminal, civil or administrative. The choice of mandatory sanctions must consider effectiveness, proportionateness, and prevention (Silvia Rodriguez-Lopez, 2017).

d. Scope of TIP in Indonesian law

Law Number 21 of 2007 concerning the Eradication of the Crime of Trafficking in Persons includes corporations as legal subjects. The position of the corporation in the elements of trafficking in persons according to *the a quo* law is explained as follows:

- a) The scope/categories of perpetrators include: 1) individuals, which includes every individual who directly commits TIP; 2) organized group, namely a group of two or more people who work together to commit TIP; 3) corporations, namely organized groups of people and/or assets, both legal entities and non-legal entities whose work is not in accordance with applicable laws and regulations resulting in TIP; and 4) state administrators, namely civil servants or government officials (including members of the Indonesian National Armed Forces, members of the Indonesian National Police, security forces, law enforcers or public officials) who abuse their power to commit or facilitate TIP.
- b) The scope of the victim, namely every person, if that person is experiencing psychological, mental, physical, sexual, economic and/or social suffering, which is caused by TIP.

e. Directions for corporate criminal responsibility regulated in Indonesian laws and regulations related to TIP

The Indonesian Constitution implicitly provides mandates and responsibilities to all parties, both individuals in society, organizations, corporations and the government to guarantee and recognize human rights. This constitution directs the development of Indonesian law, placing

corporations as legal subjects. There are a number of arrangements regarding corporate responsibility in TIP which are sporadically scattered in various laws and regulations, including:

- 1) The Criminal Code (the old Criminal Code);
- 2) Law Number 8 of 1981 concerning the Criminal Procedure Code *jo.* Government Regulation Number 27 of 1983 concerning Implementing the Criminal Procedure Code;
- 3) Law Number 1 of 2023 concerning the Criminal Code (the new Criminal Code);
- 4) Law Number 23 of 2002 concerning Child Protection as amended by Law Number 35 of 2014 concerning Amendments to Law Number 23 of 2002 concerning Child Protection;
- 5) Law Number 13 of 2006 concerning Protection of Witnesses and Victims as amended by Law Number 31 of 2014 concerning Amendments to Law Number 13 of 2006 concerning Protection of Witnesses and Victims;
- 6) Law Number 21 of 2007 concerning the Eradication of the Crime of Trafficking in Persons;
- 7) Law Number 18 of 2017 concerning Protection of Indonesian Migrant Workers *jo.* Government Regulation Number 59 of 2021 concerning Implementation of Protection for Indonesian Migrant Workers;
- 8) Law Number 12 of 2022 concerning Crimes of Sexual Violence;
- 9) Regulation of the Minister of Manpower Number 9 of 2019 concerning Procedures for Placement of Indonesian Migrant Workers *jo.* Regulation of the Minister of Manpower Number 10 of 2019 concerning Procedures for Granting Company Permits for Placement of Indonesian Migrant Workers;
- 10) Attorney General Regulation Number Per-028/A/Ja/10/2014 of 2014 concerning Guidelines for Handling Criminal Cases with Corporate Law Subjects;
- 11) Supreme Court Regulation Number 13 of 2016 concerning Procedures for Handling Criminal Cases by Corporations; And
- 12) Draft Law on Criminal Procedure Code (RUUHAP).

a. Alternative Solutions to Strengthening Corporate Criminal Arrangements in the Indonesian Legal System

Based on the regulation regarding corporate criminal liability in a number of regulations above,



solutions that can be proposed as an offer for setting corporate punishment include:

- 1) codification of regulations regarding corporate punishment; And
- 2) revamping Law Enforcement Officials (APH) resources.

2. Responsibility in Fulfilling the Rights of Restitution for TIP Victims

Understanding corporate criminal responsibility in fulfilling the right to restitution, this section generally reviews the understanding regarding the rights of victims of TIP, directives on regulations governing restitution, as well as the obstacles and challenges of fulfilling victim restitution by corporations:

a) Fulfillment of the rights of victims of TIP

In *the Declaration of Basic Principles of Justice for Victims of Crime and Abuse of Power (1985)*, victims are defined as people who personally or collectively have suffered losses, including physical or mental injuries, emotional suffering, economic losses or considerable destruction. of their basic rights, through acts or omissions contrary to the penal laws in force in member countries, including laws prohibiting the abuse of power which can be subject to criminal penalties. A person can be considered a victim based on this declaration, regardless of whether the perpetrator of the crime is identified, detained, brought to trial or sentenced and regardless of the family relationship between the perpetrator and the victim. The term victim also includes, where appropriate, the immediate family or dependents of the immediate victim or persons who have suffered harm by intervening to assist victims in distress or prevent victimization.

This definition is almost the same as Article 1 number 3 of Law Number 21 of 2007 concerning the Eradication of the Crime of Trafficking in Persons, namely "a *victim is someone who experiences psychological, mental, physical, sexual, economic and/or social suffering, which is caused by TIP*". According to Farhana, the scope of crime victims including victims of TIP includes 3 (three) things, namely: 1) who is the victim; 2) what kind of suffering or loss did the victim experience; 3) who is responsible and/or how the suffering and loss and loss experienced by the victim can be recovered (Farhana, 2012).

Article 48 paragraph (2) of Law Number 21 of 2007 concerning the Eradication of the Crime of Trafficking in Persons along with explanations of related paragraphs, states that the losses experienced by victims may include:

- a. loss of wealth or income;
- b. suffering;

- c. costs for medical and/or psychological treatment; and/or
- d. other losses suffered by victims as a result of trafficking in persons, for example: loss of property, basic transportation costs, attorney's fees or other costs related to legal proceedings, or loss of income promised by the perpetrator.

b) Directions of laws and regulations related to the responsibility for fulfilling restitution for victims of TIP by corporations

Acceptance of the corporation as a subject of criminal law in *the lex generalis* of Indonesian criminal law and *lex specialis* of criminal law on trafficking in persons, the consequences of its responsibility to victims of course include the fulfillment of restitution. Arrangements for the fulfillment of restitution by corporations have been regulated sporadically in various laws and regulations, both within and outside the Criminal Procedure Code (KUHP) and the Criminal Procedure Code (KUHP). A number of legal instruments that provide arrangements regarding victims as an important approach in determining the right to restitution, include:

- 1) Criminal Code (the old Criminal Code);
- 2) Law Number 1 of 2023 concerning the Criminal Code (the new Criminal Code);
- 3) Code of Civil law;
- 4) Law Number 8 of 1981 concerning the Criminal Procedure Code;
- 5) Law Number 26 of 2000 concerning the Human Rights Court;
- 6) Law Number 23 of 2002 concerning Child Protection, as amended by Law Number 35 of 2014 concerning Amendments to Law Number 23 of 2002 concerning Child Protection *jo*. Government Regulation Number 43 of 2017 concerning Implementation of Restitution for Children who are Victims of Crime;
- 7) Law Number 13 of 2006 concerning Protection of Witnesses and Victims as amended by Law Number 31 of 2014 concerning Amendments to Law Number 13 of 2006 concerning Protection of Witnesses and Victims *jo* . Government Regulation Number 7 of 2018 concerning Provision of Compensation, Restitution and Assistance to Witnesses and Victims, as amended by Government Regulation Number 35 of 2020 concerning Amendments to Government Regulation Number 7 of 2018 concerning Provision of Compensation, Restitution and Assistance to Witnesses and Victims;



- 8) Law Number 21 of 2007 concerning the Eradication of the Crime of Trafficking in Persons;
- 9) Law Number 12 of 2022 concerning Eradication of Criminal Sexual Violence;
- 10) Attorney General Regulation Number Per-027/A/Ja/10/2014 concerning Asset Recovery Guidelines, as amended several times, most recently by Attorney General Regulation Number 7 of 2020 concerning Second Amendment to Attorney General Regulation Number Per-027/A/Ja/10 /2014 concerning Guidelines for Asset Recovery;
- 11) Attorney General Regulation Number Per-028/A/Ja/10/2014 of 2014 concerning Guidelines for Handling Criminal Cases with Corporate Law Subjects;
- 12) Supreme Court Regulation Number 13 of 2016 concerning Procedures for Handling Criminal Cases by Corporations;
- 13) Supreme Court Regulation Number 1 of 2022 concerning Procedures for Completing Applications and Granting Restitution and Compensation to Victims of Crime;
- 14) Regulation of the Witness and Victim Protection Agency (LPSK) Number 1 of 2010 concerning Standard Operational Procedures for Requests and Implementation of LPSK Restitution *jo.* Decree of the Chairperson of the LPSK Number 407/1.5.2.HSKR/LPSK/05/2018 concerning Instructions for Implementing Restitution Assessment by LPSK Officers;
- 15) Draft Law on Criminal Procedure Code.

c) Corporate responsibility in fulfilling restitution as stipulated in Indonesian laws and regulations

The conclusions regarding the arrangements regarding the restitution of victims of TIP according to statutory regulations can be explained as follows:

- a. The inclusion of a corporation in the element of "everyone", in the various regulations above, the corporation becomes a subject of criminal law which can be held accountable for fulfilling the right of restitution for victims of TIP;
- b. Restitution is calculated and submitted by the LPSK through the demands of the Public Prosecutor. In TIP cases where the victims are children, restitution can be independently calculated and submitted by APH, both investigators and the Public Prosecutor.
- c. The court that has the authority to try and decide on requests for restitution is the

court that tries the perpetrators of criminal acts.

- d. Filing for restitution can be made before or after a court decision with permanent legal force.

d) Compliance with victim restitution through confiscation and/or confiscation of corporate assets

Currently, the mechanism for confiscating and/or confiscating assets of perpetrators (including corporations) in fulfilling restitution for criminal victims is regulated in various regulations, including:

No	Regulation	Mechanism of Confiscation/Confiscation
1	Law No. 21 of 2007 concerning the Eradication of TIP	Materially, the law regulates the confiscation of the perpetrator's assets, but does not yet clearly regulate the formal confiscation mechanism prior to confiscation for tendering compensation.
2	Law No. 12 of 2022 concerning Eradication of Criminal Sexual Violence	Investigators can confiscate the assets of TPKS perpetrators as collateral for restitution with the permission of the local district court
3	PERJA No. Per-027/A/Ja /10/2014 concerning Guidelines for Asset Recovery, as last amended by PERJA No. 7 of 2020	Asset confiscation is carried out by the prosecutor's office using criminal, civil or administrative mechanisms in accordance with statutory provisions
4	PERJA No. Per-028/A/Ja/10/2014 of 2014 concerning Guidelines for Handling Criminal Cases with Corporate Law Subjects	There is an investigative and investigative process, corporate assets and corporate management assets related to criminal acts can be confiscated. Confiscation of corporate assets and management can be carried out at every level of examination, in collaboration with related parties.
5	PERMA No 13 of 2016 concerning Procedures for Handling Criminal	If a corporate convict does not pay compensation, compensation and restitution, his property



	Cases by Corporations	can be confiscated by the prosecutor and auctioned to pay replacement money, compensation and restitution
6	PERMA No. 1 of 2022 concerning Procedures for Completing Applications and Granting Restitution and Compensation to Victims of Crime	In the event that the implementation of granting restitution to the Victim is not fulfilled until the time limit has passed, after receiving the notification from the LPSK, the Attorney General / Prosecutor / Prosecutor confiscates the assets of the perpetrators of the crime and/or Third Parties and auctions off these assets to fulfill payment of restitution within 30 days or 14 days in terms of refunds related to TIP .

Confiscation and/or confiscation of corporate assets in fulfilling victim restitution should be regulated clearly and unequivocally in *the lex generalis* of Indonesian criminal law. In current developments, corporations play a significant role in crime. Usually criminal acts committed by corporations always have a large impact on victims. The number of victims is far more than the crimes committed by individuals. In addition, in terms of power relations, corporations have stronger and more solid resources than individual victims. So the responsibility for fulfilling victim restitution by corporations for the crimes they committed should be much more fulfilling.

e) Mechanisms and requirements for filing restitution

Based on Law Number 21 of 2007 concerning Eradication of the Crime of Trafficking in Persons *jo.* Law Number 31 of 2014 concerning Amendments to Law Number 13 of 2006 concerning Protection of Witnesses and Victims, the option of submitting a request for restitution by the victim or the victim's family can be done in 3 (three) ways, namely:

- 1) Direct submission of victims through civil lawsuits;
- 2) Submission through the police;
- 3) Submission through the Witness and Victim Protection Agency (LPSK).

f) The challenges of implementing corporate criminal responsibility in

fulfilling the rights of restitution for victims of TIP

The author tries to summarize a number of challenges faced in fulfilling victim restitution, including :

- 1) Low understanding and perspective of APH ;
- 2) Limited resources and range of LPSK services ;
- 3) The low value of restitution that was decided by the court ;
- 4) The unavailability of regulatory instruments, both criminal procedural law and its derivatives regarding the fulfillment of restitution ;
- 5) The difficulty in disclosing foreign corporate actors ;
- 6) Low awareness of TIP victims ; And
- 7) The perpetrator's low intention to pay restitution .

g) Alternative Solutions to Strengthen Victim Restitution Fulfillment by Corporations as Perpetrators of Crime

Alternatives that can be encouraged as a way out to resolve the challenges of fulfilling restitution by corporate actors include:

- 1) Strengthening the role of the victim;
- 2) Making *a victim impact statement* (or a statement about the impact of the crime on the victim);
- 3) *Victim trust fund* / victim assistance fund for recovery of victim losses and victim assistance;
- 4) APH resource strengthening;
- 5) Fulfillment of victims' rights through clarification of the confiscation mechanism and/or confiscation of corporate assets;
- 6) Strengthening regulations related to criminal liability, victims of trafficking in persons and their rights of restitution;
- 7) LPSK integration in criminal procedural law;
- 8) Cross-party cooperation; And
- 9) Promotion of cross-border cooperation between migrant worker providers and recipients.

CONCLUSION

Corporations are accepted as legal subjects in *the lex generalis* of Indonesian criminal law and *lex specialis* in the laws and regulations on TIP. As a consequence, corporations that commit TIP can be legally prosecuted and held accountable. The scope of responsibility can be given to corporations, management, and/or corporations and management simultaneously, as well as managers and people who have a working relationship with the corporation. In addition, criminal



acts by corporations can also be committed by givers of orders, control holders, or corporate beneficial owners who are outside the organizational structure, but can control the corporation.

This research normatively maps the role of corporations which are quite significant as perpetrators of TIP. Its role starts from the recruitment, delivery and placement of workers. The role of corporations in trafficking in persons is generally identified as 2 (two), namely: 1) the role of corporations directly, namely through P3MI, business partner companies, and provider companies/employers ; 2) the role of corporations indirectly, namely through transportation service companies, *medical check-up companies*, financial service institutions, shelter and lodging services, as well as brokerage agents for making passports and identity cards. However, in the implementation of law enforcement, corporations always escape investigation, prosecution and punishment. One of the corporate responsibilities that is often neglected to victims of TIP is the fulfillment of the right of restitution .

Restitution is a compensation payment that is charged to the perpetrator based on a court decision that has permanent legal force for material and/or immaterial losses suffered by the victim or his heirs. In the TIP case , restitution is the right of the victim as well as the responsibility of the perpetrator to recover materially and immaterially the condition of the victim and the victim's family. Acceptance of the corporation as a subject of criminal law in *the lex generalis* of Indonesian criminal law and *lex specialis* of criminal law on trafficking in persons, the consequences of its responsibility to victims of course include the fulfillment of restitution. However, this accountability is inconsistent with reality, because corporations often escape the law.

This research summarizes 7 (seven) challenges faced in fulfilling victim restitution by corporations, including: 1) low understanding and perspective of APH; 2) limited resources and range of LPSK services ; 3) the low value of restitution decided by the court; 4) the unavailability of regulatory instruments, both criminal procedural law and its derivatives regarding the fulfillment of restitution by corporate actors ; 5) the difficulty of disclosing foreign corporate actors; 6) low awareness of TIP victims; and 7) the perpetrator's low intention to pay restitution.

REFERENCE

Book:

1. Abdulkadir Muhamad, *Law and Legal Research*, Bandung: PT Citra Aditya Bakti, 2004
2. Arif Amrullah, *Corporate Crime, (The Hunt for Mega-Profits and the Attack on Democracy)*, Bayumedia Publishing, Malang, 2006

3. Arif Gosita, *The Problem of Victims of Crime (Collection of Essays)*, Bhuana Popular Science, Jakarta, 2004
4. Barda Nawawi Arief, *Comparative Criminal Law*, PT Rajagrafindo Persada, Jakarta, 2010
5. Beth Stephens, *The Amoralism of Profit: Transnational Corporations and Human Rights*, *Berkeley Journal of International Law*, 2002
6. Brent Fisse and John Braithwaite, *Corporations, Crime, and Accountability*, Cambridge University Press, 1993
7. Dwidja Priyanto, *Legislative Policy on the Corporate Accountability System in Indonesia*, Bandung: CV. Utomo, 2004
8. Edi Yunara, *Corruption and Corporate Criminal Responsibility (Following Case Study)*, PT. Citra Aditya Bakti, Bandung, 2005
9. Gatot Supramono, *Corporate Crime and Class Action Lawsuit for Compensation and Revocation of Environmental Permits*, Jakarta: Kencana, 2022
10. Geraldine Szott Moohr, *On the Prospects of Deterring Corporate Crime*, *Journal of Business and Technology Law*, 2007
11. H. Setiyono, *Corporate Crime Analysis of Victimology and Corporate Responsibility in Criminal Law*, Malang, Banyumedia Publishing , 2003
12. Hanafi, *Strict Liability and Vicarious Liability in Criminal Law*, Indonesian Islamic University Research Institute, Yogyakarta, 1997
13. -----, *Corporate Crime*, Yogyakarta, Faculty of Law, Indonesian Islamic University, 2000
14. Hamzah Hatrik, *Corporate Responsibility Principles in Indonesian criminal law (Strict Liability and Vicarious Liability)*, PT. Rajagrafindo Persada, Jakarta, 1995
15. Iswanto, *Restitution to Victims of Death or Serious Injury as Conditional Criminal Conditions for Traffic Crimes*, (Dissertation), Yogyakarta, Gadjah Mada University Yogyakarta, 1995
16. Kristian, *Corporate Criminal Responsibility System, Theoretical Review and Comparison of Laws in Various Countries*, PT Refika Aditama, Bandung, 2016
17. Mahrus Ali, *Corporate Crime* , Yogyakarta: Meaning of Bumi Intaran, 2008
18. Master of Law, Postgraduate Jayabaya University, *Handbook for Thesis Writing for the 2017/2018 Academic Year*, Jakarta, 2018
19. Mardjono Reksodiputro, *Criminology and the Criminal Justice System, Collection of Second Books*, PPKPH-LK UI, Jakarta, 1994



20. Marlina and Azmiati Zuliah, *Right to Restitution for Victims of Trafficking in Persons*, Bandung: PT Refika Aditama, 2015
21. Maya Indah, *Protection of Victims from a Perspective of Victimology and Criminology*, Second Edition, Jakarta: Kencana, 2021
22. Moeljatno, *Criminal Law Principles*, Jakarta, Literacy Development, 1987
23. Muladi and Dwidja Priyatno, *Corporate Responsibility in Criminal Law*, STHB, Bandung, 1991
24. Muladi and Dwidja Priyatno, *Corporate Criminal Responsibility (Revised Edition)*, Kencana Prenada Media Group, 2012
25. M. Hadjon Philipus, *Legal Protection for the People in Indonesia*, Surabaya: Knowledge Development, 1987
26. M. Hisyam, *Research in Social Sciences*, Volume I Principles, FE UI, Jakarta, 1996
27. Mery Kolimon, Hans A. Harmakaputra, et al, *Refuse to be silent, the Church Against Trafficking in Persons*, Jakarta: BPK Gunung Mulia, 2018
28. Pamela H. Buci, Trends in Corporate Criminal Prosecution, *American Criminal Law Review*, 2007
29. Paul SinlaEloE, *Crime of Trafficking in Persons*, Malang, Setara Press, 2017
30. Peter Mahmud Marzuki, *Legal Research*, 11th Edition, Jakarta: Kencana, 2011
31. Romli Atmasasmita, *The Problem of Compensation for Crime Victims*, National Law Magazine, Department of Justice, 1992
32. Roeslan pious, *Thoughts About Criminal Liability*, First Printing, Jakarta, Ghalia Indonesia
33. Sahetapy, JE, *Anthology of Victimization*, Kump. Karang, Bandung: Eresko, 1982
34. -----, *Victimology An Anthology*, Jakarta: Sinar Harapan Library, 1987
35. Satjipto Rahardjo, *Law Studies*, Bandung, PT Citra Aditya Bakti, 2000
36. Secretariat of the Task Force for Prevention and Handling of the Crime of Trafficking in Persons, *Prevention and Handling of the Crime of Trafficking in Persons*, Jakarta, 2018
37. Septa Candra, *Formulation of Criminal Provisions in Administrative Criminal Law, Critical Review of the Implications of Formulating Criminal Provisions in Administrative Laws in the Environmental Sector on Corporate Criminal Liability*, Jakarta: Kencana, 2021
38. Solly Lubis, *Philosophy of Science and Research*, Mandar Maju, Bandung, 1994
39. SR Sianturi, *Principles of Criminal Law in Indonesia and Their Application*, Jakarta, STHM, 1996
40. -----, *Principles of Criminal Law in Indonesia*, Cet 3. Jakarta: Stora Graphic, 2002
41. Sulistyowati Irianto, *Women and Law Towards Law with an Equality and Justice Perspective*, First Edition, Jakarta: NZAID, 2006
42. Sutan Remy Sjahdeini, *Corporate Criminal Responsibility*, Press Graffiti, Jakarta, 2006
43. -----, *Doctrine of Criminalization: Corporate Crime and Its Ins and Outs*, Second Edition, Jakarta: PT Fajar Interpratama, 2017
44. Suteki and Galang Taufani, *Legal Research Methodology (Philosophy, Theory and Practice)*, 3rd Print Rajawali Press, Depok, 2020
45. Terence Hull, Endang Sulistyaningsih, et al, *Prostitution in Indonesia, History and Development*, Sinar Harapan Library in collaboration with *The Ford Foundation*, Jakarta, 1997
46. TM Parente, *Human Trafficking: identifying forced labor in multinational corporations and the implications of liability*, *Brazilian Journal of International Law* 11 (1), 2014
47. Wahyu Wagiman and Zainal Abidin, *Compensation Practices in Indonesia; An Initial Study*, Publisher Indonesia Corruption Watch (ICW), Jakarta, 2007
48. Yusuf Shofie, *Business Actor, Consumer and Corporate Crime*, Ghalla Indonesia, Jakarta, 2002

Journals, Research Reports and Other Scientific Works:

1. Adrianus Meliala, *Presentation Material: Seven Initiatives Related to Fulfilling the Rights of Victims and Third Parties in the Draft Law on Criminal Procedure Code*, Presentation delivered in the Webinar Series Launching of Research "KUHAP Audit", p. 4, dated December 22, 2022
2. Andrew Weissmann and David Newman, *Rethinking criminal corporate liability*, *Indiana Law Journal*, 2007
3. Anugerah Rizki Akbari & Aulia Ali Reza, *Dictum Study Journal of Corporate Criminal Court Decisions*, Jakarta, LeIP, 2017
4. Ammirullah, *Corporations in the Perspective of Criminal Law Subjects*, *Al-Daulah: Journal of Islamic Law and Legislation*, VOL.2 NO.2, 2012
5. *Crisis Center*. Indonesian Migrant Worker Protection Agency (BP2MI) East Nusa Tenggara Representative, *Recapitulation of Cases of Prospective Indonesian Migrant Workers (CPMI) / Indonesian Migrant Workers (PMI)*



- who died broken down by district/city in East Nusa Tenggara, Situation January 2018 to October 2022, signed Suratmi Hamida (Plh. Sub Coordinator of Protection and Empowerment BP2MI NTT
6. Indonesian Migrant Worker Protection Agency (B2MI), *Data on Indonesian Migrant Workers for the Period of March 2022*, BP2MI Data and Information Center, 2022
 7. European Commission, *EU Strategy towards the Eradication of Trafficking in Human Beings 2012-2016*, COM (2012) 286 final (Brussels, 2012)
 8. Faisol, *Criminal Responsibility of Corporate Management Regarding the Crime of Trafficking in Persons*, Jurisprudence, Volume 2 Number 2, June 2019
 9. Ganewati Wuryandari, *Examining Indonesian Foreign Politics in Addressing the Issue of Human Trafficking*, Journal of Political Research LIPI, Vol 8, No.2, Jakarta, 2011
 10. Julia Planitzer and Nora Katona, *Criminal Liability of Corporations for Trafficking in Human Beings for Labor Exploitation*, *Global Policy*, Volume 8 Issue 4, November 2017
 11. Witness and Victim Protection Agency (LPSK), 2021 Annual Report, Jakarta, 2021
 12. Leonard Orland, *The Transformation of Corporate Criminal Law*, *Brooklyn Journal of Corporate, Financial & Commercial Law*, 2006
 13. Luthvi Fabryka Nola, *Integrated Legal Protection Efforts for Indonesian Migrant Workers*, *The Rule of Law: Volume 7, Number 1*, June 2016
 14. Nyoman Men's Union, *Criminal Law Renewal, Lecture Materials for the Master of Law in UNDIP*
 15. Maidina Rahmawati, KUHAP Audit Research Team/ICJR Researcher, *Presentation of Papers in the "KUHP AUDIT" Research Launch Series Webinar, with the theme: Recovery of Victims and Third Parties in the Criminal Justice System*, December 22, 2022
 16. Mardjono Reksodiputro, *Corporate Criminal Responsibility in Corporate Crime, Paper presented at the National Corporate Crime Seminar*, Faculty of Law UNDIP, Semarang, 23-24 November 1989
 17. Meaghan Wikinson, *Corporate Criminal Liability-The Move Towards Recognizing Genuine Corporate Fault, Paper was written as Part of the undergraduate Honors Program*
 18. Muh Abdul Qudus and Nyoman Putra Jaya, *Corporate Responsibilities on the Action of Human Trafficking Criminals in Indonesia*, *Hang Tuah Law Journal, Volume 3 Issue 2*, October 2019
 19. Indonesian Migrant Workers Union (SBMI), Paper Investigation Report, dated 30 July 2020
 20. Silvia Rodriguez-Lopez, *Criminal Liability of Legal Persons for Human Trafficking Offenses in International and European Law*, *Journal of Trafficking and Human Exploitation*; Vol. 1, NR. 1, 95-114, Paris Legal Publishers, 2017
 21. Sondang Kristine, *Implementation of the Right of Restitution for Victims of Trafficking in Persons Based on Law No. 21 of 2007 concerning the Eradication of Trafficking in Persons*, Study of the Decision of the Tanjung Karang District Court Number: 1633/PID.B/2009/PN.TK on behalf of Fitriyani Binti Muradi, Thesis, Faculty of Law, University of Indonesia, 2012
 22. Windy Paskawati Suwarno, Pamerdi Giri Wiloso, et al, *NTT in the Light of Actor Network Theory: A Case Study of Human Trafficking under the guise of Sending Indonesian Workers in East Nusa Tenggara*, KRITIS, Journal of Interdisciplinary Development Studies, Vol. XXVII No. 2, 2018
 23. Zachary Bookman, *Convergence and Omission in Reporting Corporate and White Collar Crime*, *DePaul Business & Commercial Law Journal*, 2008
- Internet and Newspaper Coverage:**
1. Timor Express Daily Newspaper, with the title "*Polda Autopsy on Yufrinda's Body*", dated 11 August 2016
 2. Timor Express Daily Newspaper, with the title "*Yufrinda's Brain Moved to Stomach*", dated 12 August 2016
 3. Victory News Daily Newspaper, entitled "*Death at Swallow's Nest*", dated 4 March 2014
 4. Network of Community Organizations: Indonesian Migrant Worker Protection Agency (BP2MI), East Indonesia Women's Network (JPIT), et al, *Infographic of Cargo Services for PMI Bodies and AKAD from East Nusa Tenggara*, June 2022, accessed on 16 October 2022
 5. Project Multatuli, *ABK Seek Justice in the Hands of Bareskrim Polri: From Alleged Extortion by Investigators of the TIP Task Force to Protracted Claims Investigations*, link <https://projectmultatuli.org/abk-mencari-keadilan-di-tangan-bareskrim-polri-dari-alleged-extortion-by-tppo-taskgas-investigators-until-the-project-claimed-protracted-investigation/>, accessed on January 10, 2023



World Bulletin of Management and Law (WBML)
Available Online at: <https://www.scholarexpress.net>
Volume-20, March-2023
ISSN: 2749-3601

6. Kompas Newspaper, Andri Gunawan Wibisana,
*Criticism of the Formulation of Corporate
Responsibility in the RKUHP*, October 24 2022