



OPTIMIZATION OF THE SURGICAL STAGE OF DENTAL IMPLANTATION BASED ON COMPUTER MODELING

J. A. Rizaev

Samarkand State Medical University

A. A. Shodmonov

Tashkent State Dental Institute

Article history:	Abstract:
Received: August 1 st 2022 Accepted: September 1 st 2022 Published: October 7 th 2022	Reducing the number of complications after dental implantation remains an urgent problem in dentistry. All risk factors can be divided into general ones, such as smoking, systemic pathology, condition after radiation therapy, etc. and local ones, such as poor oral hygiene, periodontal disease, iatrogenic conditions, design and quality of the processing of the transgingival part of the implant. Understanding the course of inflammatory and destructive processes around a dental implant after its placement directs scientists and implant manufacturers to improve already used conservative and surgical methods of treatment of these diseases, as well as to pay more attention to the prevention of this pathology and, consequently, to the risk factors of its development. The development of new surgical and prosthetic techniques and the creation of new implant systems will contribute to extending the life span of implant-supported dentures and improving the quality of life of patients

Keywords: Dental implant, peri-implantitis, mucositis

INTRODUCTION. In recent years, there has been an increasing trend in the number of patients with partial or total loss of teeth [Bondarets A.Y. et al., 2020]. Among adults, the partial absence of teeth is one of the most common diseases, according to the WHO, this pathology affects up to 75% of the population in different regions of the globe. In the population, the complete absence of teeth, according to the WHO, is detected in 15% of adults of the planet [Latyshev A.V., 2020]. One of the main objectives of orthopaedic dentistry is to restore the lost anatomical structures of the oral cavity and their function, with maximum preservation of their own tissues [Dovbnev V.A., 2021]. Dental implantation is the restoration of the integrity of the tooth row by introducing artificial materials [Nikitin D.A. et al., 2022; Shemonaev V.I. et al., 2013]. The search for ways to improve the effectiveness of dental prosthetic rehabilitation of patients with dental defects is mainly focused on the adequate choice of designs, technologies and materials of dental prostheses [Blok M.S., 2015; Bartsch F., 2021].

In non-pathological development of osseointegration processes there is a close relationship between the dental implant surface and the adjacent bone tissue, characterized by the absence of intermediate connective tissue layer. In the case of pathology, a fibrous layer is formed between the dental implant surface and the bone tissue and fibrointegration is the result. The intermediate type of connection is called fibroosteointegration [Kulakov A.A. et al., 2019].

There is a problem of correct positioning of the implant due to the mismatch of the shape and size of the alveolar socket to the size of the implant, as well as the possibilities of compensation of the bone defect. This can lead to a lack of osseointegration and, as a consequence, loss of the implant, with possible premature cervical bone resorption, which significantly worsens the aesthetic result of treatment [Ivanov S.Y. et al. 2015].

Biomechanical relationships in the supra-structure-implant-bone system and quality osseointegration are also important, which allows to achieve optimal interaction of bone tissue with the surface of the dental implant, being an important condition for long-term, successful use of the structure [Utyuzh, A.S., 2016].

After intraosseous dental implantation the area between the dental implant and the bone is filled with blood, over time there is a fixation of platelets on the surface, fibrinogen, as well as other proteins of the complement system. These proteins do not only have a protective function. For example, fibrin acts as an optimal adhesive medium for the fixation of bone and mesenchymal stem cells with their subsequent migration to the dental implant surface and bone tissue proliferation. In this context the peculiarities of the relief of the detailed implant play one of the key roles in the "accretion" of young bone tissue to it [Clark, M. et al., 2010; Mona, K.M. et al., 2019].



It should be noted that immediately after dental implantation there is the necrosis and degradation of the "old" damaged bone adjacent to the implant and the release of pro-inflammatory factors. The subsequent infiltration of this area by macrophages leads to the accumulation of growth factors they express. Later, as the surrounding tissues recover, macrophages clear the damaged zone from the necrotic remains; fibronectin and bone cells aggregate on the surface of the dental implant and extracellular matrix is formed. The completion of this cascade of events is the restoration of the bone defect [Clark, M. et al., 2010]. In a study of the general inflammatory response after dental implantation, patients with advanced peri-implantitis were found to be immunodeficient in the T-cell pool, with the degree of T-lymphocyte suppression directly correlating with the severity of the peri-implant area lesion. Humoral immunity indicators such as IgA, IgG, IgM, on the contrary, exceed the values of the physiological norm, with the same correlation dependence on the severity of peri-implantitis [Tonoyan, Z. Yu, 2009].

Post-implantation inflammatory complications in the peri-implant tissues are subdivided depending on the timing of their occurrence. Early and late postimplantation complications are distinguished.

Early post-implantation inflammatory complications occur within one month after dental implantation - during the period of dental implant engraftment. These complications include: - swelling and hyperemia in the peri-implant area; - suppuration in the peri-implant area; - pain syndrome; - suture separation [Robustova T.G. et al. 2003].

These complications develop in the first days after dental implantation and persist for several days to 2 - 3 weeks, if inflammation is not controlled, it can develop into peri-implant mucositis and then dental peri-implantitis. Early post-implantation inflammatory complications can be caused by the location of the implant close to a periapical lesion, from where micro-organisms can spread to the implant. This raises doubts about the appropriateness of even temporarily retaining teeth with periapical inflammation near the surgical site; and bacterial penetration from nearby teeth that have been previously treated endodontically. In this case, the distance from the tooth to the implant and the age of the endodontic treatment are important in predicting the risk of inflammation; improper preparation of the bone bed and other errors during the surgical stage of implantation; granulation residues in the dental implant site after the previous tooth extraction for periodontitis [Kuznetsova, E.A., 2021]. General symptoms (hyperthermia, weakness, appetite) are clinically mild. In the oral cavity there is mobility of the placed implant,

there may be pain, the mucous membrane is hyperemic, edematous, purulent discharge is observed from the peri-implant pocket [Robustova T.G. et al., 2003].

Late post-implantation inflammatory complications are those that occur after the completion of the orthopaedic stage of dental implantation, developed in the first weeks after the superstructure placement, and several years after that - as the implants are functioning. Late post-implantation inflammatory complications include: - Peri-implantation mucositis - inflammation of the peri-implant tissues without bone damage. The clinical picture is characterised by the development of local signs of mucosal inflammation in the absence of radiological changes and implant mobility. - Peri-implantitis is an inflammation of the peri-implant tissues accompanied by resorption of the peri-implant bone. There is the involvement of all tissues of the peri-implant zone, the formation of peri-implant-gingival pocket with pathological content, mobility, exposure of the implant; there are signs of bone resorption on the radiological images [Tlustenko, E.S., 2004].

Both nosologies, in addition to the above manifestations, are characterized by the feeling of discomfort in the area of the dental implant, burning, pain, mucous membrane bleeding. In case of peri-implantitis a fistulous passage, abscess and periostitis development are also possible [Tlustenko E.S., 2004; Gilmiyarova F.N. et al., 2005]. Late post-implantation inflammatory complications can lead to: failure of implant stability; rejection of the implant; relocation (migration) of intraosseous dental implant into the maxillary sinus; osteoporosis of the jaw; formation of jaw defects [Volozhin A.I., 2005]. In the context of the pathogenesis of post-implantation inflammatory complications, the following should be pointed out. The body responds to the placement of dental implants with a complex of connective tissue reactions as to the invasion of a foreign agent. These reactions are reduced to the changes similar to the periodontal inflammatory process [Robustova T.G., 2003].

It should also be noted that the inflammation in the peri-implant tissues is comparable with that around natural teeth - gingivitis and periodontitis. This is primarily due to the identical pathogenic agent, microbial invasion, and the development of an infectious-inflammatory response in response to it. However, in the case of peri-implantitis, bone destruction around the implant is faster and more pronounced than in chronic periodontitis and the bone defect around the implant is usually formed circularly, which can lead to rapid failure of implant stability [Solovieva, A.M., 2013].



In addition to the etiologic component of therapy it is customary to include in a comprehensive treatment plan pathogenetic means of influence, the purpose of which is the correction of systemic and local metabolic disorders, optimization of protective resources and increasing the overall adaptability of the body in conditions of pathology [Mustafayeva F.G., 2017].

Prevention of inflammatory tissue changes in the area of implants consists of a complex of surgical and orthopaedic techniques, design features of prostheses and implants, the use of physiotherapeutic methods of exposure, medications and various hygienic measures.

An important point in reducing the traumatic nature of surgery in direct dental implantation are minimally invasive manipulations using a special set of tools and devices in clinical practice, which lead to a reduction in complications as well as improved treatment results [Zakharova, I.A., 2004]. The superstructure of dental implants is prone to more plaque accumulation than natural teeth. But the implementation of professional hygiene measures in the field of prosthodontics does not allow the use of traditional means and methods. Metal tools and attachments, for instance, can damage the materials of artificial crowns and prostheses, polishing with highly abrasive pastes is not desirable and standard bicarbonate powder cannot be used for machine hygiene. All this often leads to an increase in plaque adhesion to the implant surfaces [Solovieva, A.M., 2013].

The treatment of inflammatory and destructive processes of peri-implant tissues involves conservative and surgical measures. Heitz-Mayfield Lis, J.A. et al. (2018) apply the generally accepted standards of treatment of patients with inflammatory complications after dental implantation. Armas et al. (2013) in turn believe that conservative treatment of inflammatory complications is not sufficient to achieve a stable positive result. J. Lindhä et al. (2018) believe that local and general medication is not sufficient only in the case of peri-implantitis.

Elimination of inflammatory phenomena in the implant area, liquidation of peri-implant pockets with foci of microorganism accumulation, stimulation of reparative properties of bone tissue are the main tasks in the treatment of peri-implant mucositis and dental peri-implantitis [Kuznetsova Ye.A., 2012]. An important role in the complex treatment of peri-implantitis is given to the mechanical treatment of gingival and bone pockets, deepithelialization of pockets with laser, antibiotic therapy and regenerative treatment [Bergmann, F., 2019].

CONCLUSIONS: The above therefore necessitates a study and evidence base in the treatment and prevention of inflammatory complications in orthopaedic patients with dental implants.

LITERATURE

1. Avanesyan R.A., Sirak S.V., Khojayan A.B., Gevandova M.G., Kopylova I.A. Sociological aspects of diagnosis and prevention of dental implant complications (based on a survey of dentists). *Fundamental'nye issledovaniia*. 2013. № 7-3. С. 495-499.
2. Agazadeh A.R. Corrective therapy and assessment of treatment outcomes in dental implantation. // *Vestnik stomatologii*. 2009. № 3 (68). С. 49-53.
3. Hakobyan G.V., Khachatryan A.G. Retrospective analysis of the impact of periodontal diseases on implant engraftment and long-term prognosis of implantation // *Periodontology*. - 2011. - №1. - С. 39-43.
4. . Asson, J-N. TRIPOD - new protocol for immediate loading of implants / J-N. Asson, J. Assid // *Dental Tribune Russia*. - 2013. - №2 (12). - С.1-3.
5. Akhmadova M.A. Dental implantation using navigational implant template made using CAD/CAM technology / M.A. Akhmadova, A.Y. Ignatov // *Dentistry*. - 2011. - №2. - С.49-52.
6. Belyakova A.S., Kozlova L.S. Prediction of bone remodeling processes disturbance by saliva microcrystallization test in patients before dental implantation. *Dental Forum*. 2013. № 3. С. 18-19.
7. Block, M.S. Dental implantology. Surgical aspects. / M.S. Block. - M.: Medpress-Inform, 2015. - 448 с
8. .Shomurodov Kakhramon Erkinovich, Kamalova Malika Ilkhomovna. Proper feeding and precautions during and after feeding of children with congenital cleft palate and lip. *Journal of Biomedicine and Practice*. 2021, vol. 6, issue 4, pp. 16-20
9. Shomurodov, K., Khaidarov, N., & Kamalova, M. (2021). The formation and eruption of baby teeth in children. *Збірник наукових праць scientia*. вилучено із