

World Bulletin of Social Sciences (WBSS)

Available Online at: https://www.scholarexpress.net

Vol. 28, November 2023

ISSN: 2749-361X

SEMANTIC ANALYSIS OF THE VERB IN UZBEK AND RUSSIAN

Ergasheva Shakhnoza Erkinovna

Researcher, Fergana State University

Article history:		Abstract:
Received: Accepted: Published:	17 th September 2023 17 th October 2023 24 th November 2023	A verb is a word category that expresses action, state or process and has grammatical categories such as tense, aspect, declension, proportion, perfective, modality, and others. Verbs are words that mean action. In grammar, the meaning of action is very broad and includes actions, states, mental changes, biological processes, as well as similar actions and phenomena. In addition to the fact that all this has its own private parties, there is also a side that is common to all of them, that is, they are considered phenomena that occur in relation to time. The verb is an independent word category that represents an action, state or process, that is, an action such as the appearance and change of a certain character. All such meanings inherent in the verb go back to the general sense of action, which helps to distinguish it from other word categories.

Keywords: Words ,Uzbek and Russian, The verb

A verb is a word category that expresses action, state or process and has grammatical categories such as tense, aspect, declension, proportion, perfective, modality, and others. Verbs are words that mean action. In grammar, the meaning of action is very broad and includes actions, states, mental changes, biological processes, as well as similar actions and phenomena. In addition to the fact that all this has its own private parties, there is also a side that is common to all of them, that is, they are considered phenomena that occur in relation to time. The verb is an independent word category that represents an action, state or process, that is, an action such as the appearance and change of a certain character. All such meanings inherent in the verb go back to the general sense of action, which helps to distinguish it from other word categories.

Yu.S.Maslov points out, the verb is considered to be a words representing the grammatical significance of a sign, an action that occurs over time. The grammatical significance of action can be understood in a broad sense. It not only expresses action and literal activity, but also indicates the state and the presence of a particular object or person.

In both Uzbek and Russian, the verb, which is considered the most complex category of words, means action and case. In both languages, verbs are divided into two types: transitive and intransitive verbs, according to the meanings and tasks they mean. Verbs that express the transition of the perceived action effect from a verb to another subject are transitive (perexodnie glagoli). In Uzbek, transitive verbs are

conjugated with the words of the revenue agreement. In Russian, too, the word that binds to such a verb is in the prepositional *vinitelny* agreement. Such a verb is called intransitive (neperexodnie glagoli) if the effect of the perceived action from the verb does not move directly to something. In Uzbek kimni? nimani? a verb whose interrogative is unanswered is considered impenetrable. In Russian, such verbs form a conjunction with nouns and nouns that come from imenitelny and vinitelny in other agreements. But if the noun or the noun is in the form of a prepositional *vinitelny* agreement, then it is combined with an intransitive verb. For example: Я *люблю школу. – Men maktabni* sevaman (without prep. вин. падеж); Я иду в школу. – Men maktabga ketyapman (with prep. вин. падеж). In both Uzbek and Russian, the verb has five categories, with tense, mile, proportion, person-number categories in either language. However, the category vid (type) in Russian is not specific to Uzbek verbs, and the category of split-indivisibility is not specific to Russian verbs. But although the categories of tense, mile, proportion, person-number are common to the two languages being compared, they differ significantly from each other in their chances of surfacing, morphological character and syntactic tasks. When these categories in Uzbek and Russian are compared separately, the differences between them are clearly manifested.

The vid category, inherent only in the Russian language itself, comes to the surface using various affixes (front prefixes, suffixes, phonetic changes) and serves to mean that movement and posture continue, that it is complete, that it is repeated, that it has taken place



World Bulletin of Social Sciences (WBSS) Available Online at: https://www.scholarexpress.net

Vol. 28, November 2023

ISSN: 2749-361X

verbs are classified into types such as *nesovershenny* vid and sovershenny vid. V.V.Reshetov, O.Scholars such as Azizov have referred to the first type of verbs as the unfinished type and the second as the completed type. But such a designation does not justify itself much. In В этот момент я читал книгу (Men bu vaqtda kitob oʻqiyotgan edim); Сейчас я читаю книгу (Hozir men o'qiyapman) the verb of *читать* expresses unfinished action; in the sentences Я почитал книгу (Men kitob o'gidim); Я прочитал книгу (Men kitobni o'qib chiqdim) expresses finished actions. But in Ирода запела (Iroda kuylab yubordi); Воробьи зачирикали (Chumchuglar savrav boshladi) sentences the form of sovershenniy vid of the verbs *петь, чирикать совершенный вид* expresses the beginning of the action. Therefore, the use of Russian sovershenniy vid, nesovershenniy vid invasions, in our opinion, is desirable when speaking about verbs in Russian. Usually most verbs in Russian are in the form of nesovershenny vid. The Sovershenny vid form of verbs is essentially a variety of consoles before nesovershenny vid verbs (при-, про-, на-, с-, о-, по-, за-, в-, из-, от-, у-, до-, при-, пере-, раз- va h.k.),

once. According to the expression of these meanings,

In addition, these two forms of the verb in Russian can also be distinguished from each other using accents: насып'ать – нас'ыпать. The Sovershenny vid form of the verb can be converted back to the nesovershenny vid form using the suffixes *–ыва, -ива*: заканчивать, записать закончить записывать. Sometimes the sovershenny vid form of the verb nesovershenny vid can also be formed in a suppletive way. Nesovershenniy vid - Sovershenniy vid: брать *ВЗЯТЬ* (olmoq); говорить – сказать (aytmoq, demoq); класть – положить (qo'ymoq); ловить – поймать (tutmoq).

sometimes the suffix -Hy- is added to the stem of the

word. For example, писать (уоzmoq), написать (уоzib

(yozib

толкнуть (itarib yubormoq),

olmoq) yoki толкать

кричать

записать

(baqirmoq), крикнуть (baqirib yubormoq).

boʻlmoq), (itarmoq),

In Uzbek, verbs are not usually classified into types in this way. Therefore, with the help of the Russian verbs sovershenny and nesovershenny vid, differentiable meanings are given in Uzbek through forms of way of action. It should also be noted that the forms of the way of action are considered semantically richer in relation to the category of vid. Because auxiliary verb conjugations have the property of being able to express not only completion or repeatability, but also a number of additional meanings such as duration, ability, test,

unpredictability, beginning, one-time performance. This can be proved by comparing the verb to say only one in Uzbek and Russian. For example: aytdi — сказал, aytib qo'ydi — сказал, ayta boshladi — начал говорить, ayta oldi — сказаль, aytib berdi — рассказал, aytib soldi — сказал, aytib ko'rdi — сказал, aytib chiqdi — сказал, aytib o'tdi — упомянул, aytib turdi — пересказывал, aytib tashladi — сказал, aytib bo'ldi — рассказал.

As we can see, not all of the above meanings can be brought to the surface through the sovershenny, nesovershenny vid forms in Russian verbs. True, when a text is translated from Uzbek into Russian, the translator tries to express all these meanings as much as possible, but in this he uses various other means of the language, since the vid category itself is not enough. While Russian also has auxiliary and leading verb conjugations, they are not as numerous as in Uzbek. The difference between such suffixes existing in two languages is that in Uzbek, usually the leading form -b (-ib), - a (-y) comes first, then the auxiliary verb, and the tusker Affixes are added to this next one. In Russian, however, the stressed auxiliary verb comes before, the leading verb follows, and it takes the form of an infinitive (invariant). For example: Рустам стал изучать английский язык. – Rustam ingliz tilini oʻrgana boshladi; Гуля начала заниматься спортом – Guli sport bilan shugʻullana boshladi. Мой друг продолжает жить на Юге – Mening do'stim haligacha Janubda yashab yuribdi.

In Russian, too, there are certain meanings that are generated in the process of converting nesovershenny vid verbs into sovershenny vid, which in Uzbek one has to use other means of the language to express them. For example, подписать — ostiga yozmoq (imzo qo'ymoq ma'nosida ishlatiladi), надписать — ustiga (sirtiga) yozmoq, дописать — oxirigacha yozib tugatmoq, недописать — oxirigacha yozishga ulqurolmay qolmoq.

This category, which is unique to Uzbek verbs, is one of the main features inherent in the verb. Because in Uzbek, any verb takes the form of being or without being. In Russian, the loadout ne- (не) is mainly used to express the meaning of negation. This pronoun is written apart from the verb, so this case is not included in the order of the verb category in Russian. True, in Russian there are not even some verbs that can be added with the ne- (не) load (ненавидеть, негодовать, недомогать). But they cannot be supported at all without this download. What in these words stuck to the core not as a load, but in the style of the prefix ne- (не). Therefore, the noun made of these verbs is also used with this front suffix. For example: ненависть (nafrat).



World Bulletin of Social Sciences (WBSS) Available Online at: https://www.scholarexpress.net

Available Online at: https://www.scholarexpress.net Vol. 28, November 2023

ISSN: 2749-361X

In Uzbek, the affix —ma, which expresses the meaning of indivisibility (or) negation, is richer in terms of accessibility and content in relation to the Russian ne-(не) load. Because, first, the affix —ma can apply negation for expressiveness in almost all forms of the verb. Secondly, it also serves to make a non-dividing form of the name of the action. Thirdly, to express different meanings, the way of action can be added to both the leading verb in its forms, the auxiliary verb, and sometimes both at the same time. The meanings expressed in this are given in Russian by various means. For example: yozmay qo'ydi — перестал писать; yozib qo'ymadi — не записал; yozmay qo'ymaydi — обязательно напишет.

The negation meaning in the first word conjugation was formed in Russian using the insertion of a prestation before the стать auxiliary verb. In general, conjugations in the verb+ put style without being in Uzbek are given in Russian mainly by these means: bormay qo'ydi (перестал ходить), o'ylamay qo'ydi (перестал думать), shug'ullanmay qo'ydi (перестал заниматься) and others.

The meaning of negation in the second joint is given using a single *ne- (не)* load, while in the third neither of these tools was involved. Because the negation in the first part of the non –write addition is negated by the affix-ma in the second part, and the strictness is emphasized in this. Therefore, the word *обязательно (albatta)* is involved in the Russian language to give the full meaning of this addition. The *ne- (не)* load in the Russian language does not have such possibilities of application.

BIBLIOGRAPHY:

- 1. Irisqulov M. Tilshunoslikka kirish. T., 1992.
- 2. Апресян, Ю. Д. Новый объяснительный словарь синонимов русского языка / Под общим рук. акад. Ю.Д. Апресяна. 2-е изд., испр. и доп. Москва: Школа «Языки славянской культуры», 2003. 1488 с.
- 3. Ахманова, О.С. Словарь лингвистических терминов / О.С. Ахманова. М.: Едиториал УРСС, 2004. 576 с.
- Большой русско-английский словарь: С приложением кратких сведений по английской грамматике и орфоэпии, сост. А.И. Смирницким / О.С. Ахманова, З.С. Выгодская, Т.П. Горбунова и др.; Под ред. О.С.Ахмановой. М.: Рус. яз., 2000. 768 с.
- 5. Большой толковый словарь русских глаголов: Идеографическое описание. Синонимы. Антонимы. Английский

эквиваленты / Под ред. Проф. Л.Г. Бабенко. – М.: ACT-ПРЕСС КНИГА, 2008. – 576 с.